arXiv:1507.01426v2 [math.CO] 10 Jul 2015

Proper connection number and 2-proper connection number of a graph*

Fei Huang, Xueliang Li, Shujing Wang

Center for Combinatorics and LPMC-TJKLC

Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China

Email: huangfei06@126.com; lxl@nankai.edu.cn; wang06021@126.com

Abstract

A path in an edge-colored graph is called a proper path if no two adjacent edges of the path are colored with one same color. An edge-colored graph is called k-proper connected if any two vertices of the graph are connected by k internally pairwise vertex-disjoint proper paths in the graph. The k-proper connection number of a k-connected graph G, denoted by $pc_k(G)$, is defined as the smallest number of colors that are needed in order to make G k-proper connected. For $k = 1$, we write $pc(G)$ other than $pc_1(G)$, and call it the proper connection number of G. In this paper, we present an upper bound for the proper connection number of a graph G in terms of the minimum degree of G, and give some sufficient conditions for a graph to have 2-proper connection number two. Also, we investigate the proper connection numbers of dense graphs.

Keywords: proper path, proper connection number, 2-proper connection number, dense graph

AMS subject classification 2010: 05C15, 05C35, 05C38, 05C40.

1 Introduction

In this paper we are concerned with simple connected finite graphs. We follow the terminology and the notation of Bondy and Murty [3]. For a graph $G = (V, E)$ and two

*Supported by NSFC No.11371205 and PCSIRT.

disjoint subsets X and Y of V, denote by $B_G[X,Y]$ the bipartite subgraph of G with vertex set $X \cup Y$ and edge set $E(X,Y)$, where $E(X,Y)$ is the set of edges of G that have one end in X and the other in Y. A graph is called pancyclic if it contains cycles of all lengths r for $3 \leq r \leq n$. The join $G_1 \vee G_2$ of two edge-disjoint graphs G_1 and G_2 is obtained by adding edges from each vertex in G_1 to every vertex in G_2.

An edge-coloring of a graph G is an assignment c of colors to the edges of G, one color to each edge of G. If adjacent edges of G are assigned different colors by c, then c is a *proper (edge-)coloring*. For a graph G, the minimum number of colors needed in a proper coloring of G is referred to as the *chromatic index* of *edge-chromatic number* of G and denoted by $\chi'(G)$. A path in an edge-colored graph with no two edges sharing the same color is called a *rainbow path*. An edge-colored graph G is said to be *rainbow connected* if every pair of distinct vertices of G is connected by at least one rainbow path in G. Such a coloring is called a *rainbow coloring* of the graph. For a connected graph G, the minimum number of colors needed in a rainbow coloring of G is referred to as the *rainbow connection number* of G and denoted by $rc(G)$. The concept of rainbow coloring was first introduced by Chartrand et al. in [6]. In recent years, the rainbow coloring has been extensively studied and a variety of nice results have been obtained, see [5, 7, 10, 11, 13] for examples. For more details we refer to a survey paper [14] and a book [15].

Inspired by the rainbow coloring and proper coloring of graphs, Andrews et al. [1] introduced the concept of proper-path coloring. Let G be an edge-colored graph, where adjacent edges may be colored with the same color. A path in G is called a *proper path* if no two adjacent edges of the path are colored with a same color. An edge-coloring c is a *proper-path coloring* of a connected graph G if every pair of distinct vertices u, v of G is connected by a proper $u-v$ path in G. A graph with a proper-path coloring is said to be *proper connected*. If k colors are used, then c is referred to as a *proper-path k-coloring*. An edge-colored graph G is *k-proper connected* if any two vertices are connected by k internally pairwise vertex-disjoint proper paths. For a k-connected graph G, the *k-proper connection number* of G, denoted by $pc_k(G)$, is defined as the smallest number of colors that are needed in order to make G k-proper connected. Clearly, if a graph is k-proper connected, then it is also k-connected. Conversely, any k-connected graph has an edge-coloring that makes it k-proper connected; the number of colors is easily bounded by the edge-chromatic number which is well known to be at most $\Delta(G)$ or $\Delta(G)+1$ by Vizings Theorem [16] (where $\Delta(G)$, or simply Δ, is the maximum degree of G). Thus $pc_k(G) \leq \Delta(G)+1$ for any k-connected graph G. For $k=1$, we write $pc(G)$ other than $pc_1(G)$, and call it the *proper connection number* of G.

Let G be a nontrivial connected graph of order n and size m. Then the proper

connection number of G has the following apparent bounds:

$$1 \leq pc(G) \leq \min\{\chi'(G), rc(G)\} \leq m.$$

Furthermore, $pc(G) = 1$ if and only if $G = K_n$ and $pc(G) = m$ if and only if $G = K_{1,m}$ is a star of size m.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we give the basic definitions and some useful lemmas. In Section 3, we study the proper connection number of bridgeless graphs, and present a tight upper bound for the proper connection number of a graph by using this result. In Section 4, we give some sufficient conditions for graphs to have 2-proper connection number two. In Section 5, we investigate the proper connection number of dense graphs.

2 Preliminaries

At the beginning of this section, we list some fundamental results on proper-path coloring.

Lemma 2.1. *[1] If G is a nontrivial connected graph and H is a connected spanning subgraph of G, then $pc(G) \leq pc(H)$. In particular, $pc(G) \leq pc(T)$ for every spanning tree T of G.*

Lemma 2.2. *[1] If T is a nontrivial tree, then $pc(T) = \chi'(T) = \Delta(T)$.*

Given a colored path $P = v_1v_2 \ldots v_{s-1}v_s$ between any two vertices v_1 and v_s, we denote by $start(P)$ the color of the first edge in the path, i.e., $c(v_1v_2)$, and by $end(P)$ the last color, i.e., $c(v_{s-1}v_s)$. If P is just the edge v_1v_s, then $start(P) = end(P) = c(v_1v_s)$.

Definition 2.1. *Let c be an edge-coloring of G that makes G proper connected. We say that G has the strong property under c if for any pair of vertices $u, v \in V(G)$, there exist two proper paths P_1, P_2 between them (not necessarily disjoint) such that $start(P_1) \neq start(P_2)$ and $end(P_1) \neq end(P_2)$.*

In [4], the authors studied the proper-connection numbers in 2-connected graphs. Also, they presented a result which improves the upper bound $\Delta(G) + 1$ of $pc(G)$ to the best possible whenever the graph G is bipartite and 2-connected.

Lemma 2.3. *[4] Let G be a graph. If G is bipartite and 2-connected, then $pc(G) = 2$ and there exists a 2-edge-coloring c of G such that G has the strong property under c.*

Lemma 2.4. *[4] Let G be a graph. If G is 2-connected, then $pc(G) \leq 3$ and there exists a 3-edge-coloring c of G such that G has the strong property under c.*

Lemma 2.5. *[8] Let $H = G \cup \{v_1\} \cup \{v_2\}$. If there is a proper-path k-coloring c of G such that G has the strong property under c. Then $pc(H) \leq k$ as long as v_1, v_2 are not isolated vertices of H.*

As a result of Lemma 2.5, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.6. *Let H be the graph that is obtained by identifying u_i of G to v_i of a path P^i for $i = 1, 2$, where $d_{P^i}(v_i) = 1$. If there is a proper-path k-coloring c of G such that G has the strong property under c, then $pc(H) \leq k$.*

Lemma 2.7. *Let $C_n = v_1v_2 \dots v_nv_1$ be an n-vertex cycle and $G = C_n + v_{n-1}v_1$. One has that $pc_2(G) = 2$.*

Proof. If n is an even integer, it is obvious that $pc_2(G) \leq pc_2(C_n) = 2$. So we only need to consider the case that n is odd. Let $C' = v_1v_2v_3 \dots v_{n-1}v_1$. Then we have that C' is an even cycle. We color the edges $v_{2i-1}v_{2i}$ by color 1 for $i = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{n-1}{2}$ and color the other edges by color 2. Now we show that for any v_i, v_j, there are two disjoint proper paths connecting them. If $i, j \neq n$, we can see that $P_1 = v_iv_{i+1} \dots v_j$ and $P_2 = v_iv_{i-1} \dots v_1v_{n-1} \dots v_j$ are two disjoint proper paths connecting v_i and v_j. If $i = n$, we also have that $Q_1 = v_nv_1v_2 \dots v_j$ and $Q_2 = v_nv_{n-1}v_{n-2} \dots v_j$ are two disjoint proper paths connecting v_n and v_j. The proof is thus complete. □

3 Upper bounds of proper connection number

In [4], the authors studied the proper connection number for 2-(edge)-connected graphs by (closed) ear-decomposition. Here, we reproof the result for 2-edge-connected graphs by using another method.

Theorem 3.1. *If G is a connected bridgeless graph with n vertices, then $pc(G) \leq 3$. Furthermore, there exists a 3-edge-coloring c of G such that G has the strong property under c.*

Proof. We prove the result for connected bridgeless graphs by induction on the number of blocks in G. First, the result clearly holds when G is 2-connected by Lemma 2.4. Suppose that G has at least two blocks. Let X be the set of vertices of an end-block of G, that is, X contains only one cut vertex, say x. From Lemma 2.4, we know that

$G[X]$ has a 3-edge-coloring c_1 such that $G[X]$ has the strong property under c_1. Consider the subgraph H of G induced by $(V(G) \setminus X) \cup \{x\}$. H is a connected bridgeless graph with the number of blocks one less than G. By the induction hypothesis, we have that $pc(H) \leq 3$ and H has a 3-edge-coloring c_2 such that H has the strong property under c_2. Let c be the edge-coloring of G such that $c(e) = c_1(e)$ for any $e \in E(G[X])$ and $c(e) = c_2(e)$ otherwise. We now show that G has the strong property under the coloring c. It suffices to consider the pairs u, v such that $u \in X \setminus \{x\}$ and $v \in V(G) \setminus X$. Let P_1, P_2 be two proper paths in $G[X]$ between u and x such that $start(P_1) \neq start(P_2)$ and $end(P_1) \neq end(P_2)$, and let Q_1, Q_2 be the two proper paths in H between v and x such that $start(Q_1) \neq start(Q_2)$ and $end(Q_1) \neq end(Q_2)$. It is obvious that either $P = P_1 \cup Q_1, Q = P_2 \cup Q_2$ or $P = P_1 \cup Q_2, Q = P_2 \cup Q_1$ are two proper paths between u and v with the property that $start(P) \neq start(Q)$ and $end(P) \neq end(Q)$. This completes the proof. □

With a similar analysis and by Lemma 2.3, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *If G is a bipartite connected bridgeless graph with n vertices, then $pc(G) \leq 2$. Furthermore, there exists a 2-edge-coloring c of G such that G has the strong property under c.*

An Eulerian graph is clearly bridgeless. As a result of Theorem 3.1, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. *For any Eulerian graph G, one has that $pc(G) \leq 3$. Furthermore, if G is Eulerian and bipartite, one has that $pc(G) = 2$.*

Lemma 3.4. *Let G be a graph and $H = G - PV(G)$, where $PV(G)$ is the set of the pendent vertices of G. Suppose that $pc(H) \leq 3$ and there is a proper-path 3-coloring c of H such that H has the strong property under c. Then one has that $pc(G) \leq \max\{3, |PV(G)|\}$.*

Proof. Assume that $PV(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k\}$. If $k \leq 2$, we have that $pc(G) \leq 3$ by Lemma 2.5. So we consider the case that $k \geq 3$. Let u_i be the neighbor of v_i in G for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, and let $\{1, 2, 3\}$ be the color-set of c. We first assign color j to $u_j v_j$ for $j = 4, \ldots, k$. Then we color the remaining edges u_1v_1, u_2v_2, u_3v_3 by colors $1, 2, 3$.

If $u_1 = u_2 = u_3$, we assign color i to $u_i v_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. If $u_1 = u_2 \neq u_3$, let P be a proper path of G connecting u_1 and u_3. Then there are two different colors in $\{1, 2, 3\} \setminus \{start(P)\}$. We assign these two colors to u_1v_1 and u_2v_2, respectively, and choose a color that is distinct from $end(P)$ in {1,2,3} for u_3v_3. If $u_i \neq u_j$ for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3$, suppose that P_{ij} is a proper path of G between u_i and u_j. We choose a

color that is distinct from $start(P_{12})$ and $start(P_{13})$ in {1,2,3} for u_1v_1. Similarly, we color u_2v_2 by a color in $\{1,2,3\} \setminus \{end(P_{12}), start(P_{23})\}$, and color u_3v_3 by a color in $\{1,2,3\} \setminus \{end(P_{13}), end(P_{23})\}$.

One can see that in all these cases, v_i and v_j are proper connected for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq k$. Moreover, as H has the strong property under edge-coloring c, it is obvious that v_i and u are proper connected for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $u \in V(H)$. Therefore, we have that $pc(H) \leq k = |PV(G)|$. Hence, we obtain that $pc(G) \leq \max\{3, |PV(G)|\}$. □

Lemma 3.5. *Let G be a graph with a cut-edge v_1v_2, and G_i be the connected graph obtained from G by contacting the connected component containing v_i of $G - v_1v_2$ to a vertex v_i, where $i = 1, 2$. Then $pc(G) = \max\{pc(G_1), pc(G_2)\}$*

Proof. First, it is obvious that $pc(G) \geq \max\{pc(G_1), pc(G_2)\}$. Let $pc(G_1) = k_1$ and $pc(G_2) = k_2$. Without loss of generality, suppose $k_1 \geq k_2$. Let c_1 be a k_1-proper coloring of G_1 and c_2 be a k_2-proper coloring of G_2 such that $c_1(v_1v_2) = c_2(v_1v_2)$ and $\{c_2(e) : e \in E(G_2)\} \subseteq \{c_1(e) : e \in E(G_1)\}$. Let c be the edge-coloring of G such that $c(e) = c_1(e)$ for any $e \in E(G_1)$ and $c(e) = c_2(e)$ otherwise. Then c is an edge-coloring of G using k_1 colors. We will show that c is a proper-path coloring of G. For any pair of vertices $u, v \in V(G)$, we can easily find a proper path between them if $u, v \in V(G_1)$ or $u, v \in V(G_2)$. Hence we only need to consider that $u \in V(G_1) \setminus \{v_1, v_1\}$ and $v \in V(G_2) \setminus \{v_1, v_2\}$. Since c_1 is a k_1-proper coloring of G_1, there is a proper path P_1 in G_1 connecting u and v_1. Since c_2 is a k_2-proper coloring of G_2, there is a proper path P_2 in G_2 connecting v and v_2. As $c_1(v_1v_2) = c_2(v_1v_2)$, then we know that $P = uP_1v_2v_1P_2v$ is a proper path connecting u and v in G. Therefore, we have that $pc(G) \leq k_1$, and the proof is thus complete. □

Let $B \subseteq E$ be the set of cut-edges of a graph G. Let $\mathcal{C}$ denote the set of connected components of $G' = (V; E \setminus B)$. There are two types of elements in $\mathcal{C}$, singletons and connected bridgeless subgraphs of G. Let $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ denote the singletons and let $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{S}$. Each element of $\mathcal{S}$ is, therefore, a vertex, and each element of $\mathcal{D}$ is a connected bridgeless subgraph of G. Contracting each element of $\mathcal{D}$ to a vertex, we obtain a new graph G^*. It is easy to see that G^* is a tree, and the edge set of G^* is B. According to the above notations, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.6. *If G is a connected graph, then $pc(G) \leq \max\{3, \Delta(G^*)\}$.*

Proof. For an arbitrary element A of $\mathcal{C}$, A is either a singleton or a connected bridgeless subgraph of G. Let $C(A)$ be the set of cut-edges in G that has an end-vertex in A. It is obvious that $|C(A)| \leq \Delta(G^*)$. We use A_0 to denote the subgraph of G obtained from A

by adding all the edges of $C(A)$ to A. If A is a singleton, we have that $pc(A_0) = |C(A)| \leq \max\{3, \Delta(G^*)\}$. Otherwise, from Theorem 3.1, we know that $pc(A) \leq 3$ and there is a coloring c of A such that A has the strong property under c. Then by Lemma 3.4, we have that $pc(A_0) \leq \max\{3, |C(A)|\} \leq \max\{3, \Delta(G^*)\}$. Hence, by Lemma 3.5, we can obtain that $pc(G) = \max_{A\in\mathcal{C}} pc(A_0) \leq \max\{3, \Delta(G^*)\}$. □

Let rK_t be the disjoint union of r copies of the complete graph K_t, We use S_r^t to denote the graph obtained from rK_t by adding an extra vertex v and joining v to one vertex of each K_t.

Corollary 3.7. *If G is a connected graph with n vertices and minimum degree $\delta \geq 2$, then $pc(G) \leq \max\{3, \frac{n-1}{\delta+1}\}$. Moreover, if $\frac{n-1}{\delta+1} > 3$, and $n \geq \delta(\delta+1)+1$, we have that $pc(G) = \frac{n-1}{\delta+1}$ if and only if $G \cong S_r^t$, where $t-1 = \delta$ and $rt+1 = n$.*

Proof. Since the minimum degree of G is $\delta \geq 2$, we know that each leaf of G^* is obtained by contracting an element with at least $\delta+1$ vertices of $\mathcal{D}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{D}$ has at most $\frac{n-1}{\delta+1}$ such elements, and so, one can see that $\Delta(G^*) \leq \frac{n-1}{\delta+1}$. From Theorem 3.6, we know that $pc(G) \leq \max\{3, \frac{n-1}{\delta+1}\}$.

If $\frac{n-1}{\delta+1} > 3$ and $pc(G) = \frac{n-1}{\delta+1}$, one can see that G^* is a star with $\Delta(G^*) = \frac{n-1}{\delta+1}$, and each leaf of G^* is obtained by contracting an element with $\delta+1$ vertices of $\mathcal{D}$, that is, $G \cong S_r^t$, where $t = \delta$ and $rt+1 = n$. On the other hand, if $G \cong S_r^t$, where $t = \delta$ and $rt+1 = n$, we can easily check that $pc(G) = r = \frac{n-1}{\delta+1}$. □

4 Graphs with 2-proper connection number two

At the beginning of this section, we list an apparent sufficient condition for graphs to have proper connection number two.

proposition 4.1. *Let G be a simple noncomplete graph on at least three vertices in which the minimum degree is at least $n/2$, then $pc(G) = 2$.*

We should mention that the condition $\delta(G) \geq n/2$ is quite rough. In [4], the authors gave a much better result for graphs with appreciable quantity of vertices to have proper connection number two. They proved that if G is connected noncomplete graph with $n \geq 68$ vertices, and $\delta \geq n/4$, then $pc(G) = 2$.

It is easy to find that the 2-proper connection number of any simple 2-connected graph is at least 2, and every complete graph on at least 4 vertices evidently has the property that $pc_2(K_n) = 2$. But suppose that our graph has considerably fewer edges. In

particular, we may ask how large the minimum degree of G must be in order to guarantee the property that $pc_2(G) = 2$. Motivated by Proposition 4.1, we consider the condition $\delta \geq n/2$ and get the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2. *Let G be a connected graph with n vertices and minimum degree δ. If $\delta \geq n/2$ and $n \geq 4$, then $pc_2(G) = 2$.*

Proof. Since $\delta \geq n/2$, we know that there exists a Hamiltonian cycle $C = v_1v_2 \dots v_n$ in G. If n is even, one has that $pc_2(G) \leq pc_2(C_n) = 2$. Hence, we only need to consider the case that $n = 2k+1$. Let $H = G - v_n$, one has that $d_H(v_i) \geq d_G(v_i) - 1 \geq k = |V(H)|/2$. Hence, there exists a Hamiltonian cycle $C' = v'_1v'_2 \dots v'_{2k}$ in H. As $d_G(v_n) \geq k+1$, one can see that there is an edge, say $v'_1v'_2$, such that $v_nv'_1, v_nv'_2 \in E(G)$. Hence, there is a spanning subgraph G' of G with $E(G') = E(C') \cup \{v_nv'_1, v_nv'_2\}$. By Lemma 2.7, we have that $pc_2(G) \leq pc_2(G') = 2$, and so the proof is complete. □

Remark: The condition $\delta \geq n/2$ is best possible. In fact, we can find graphs with minimum degree less than $n/2$ which is not 2-connected, and so we cannot calculate the 2-proper connection number. For example, let $G = K_1 \vee (2K_k)$. We know that $\delta(G) = k < |V(G)|/2$, whereas $pc_2(G)$ does not exists.

Though the condition on the minimum degree can not be improved, we may consider some weaker conditions. We need an important conclusion which can be found in [2]. We use $cir(G)$ to denote the circumference (length of a longest cycle) of G.

Lemma 4.3. *[2] If $G = G(n, m)$ and $m \geq n^2/4$, then G contains a cycle C_r of length r for each $3 \leq r \leq cir(G)$.*

Theorem 4.4. *Let G be a simple graph on at least four vertices in which the degree sum of any two nonadjacent vertices is at least n. Then $pc_2(G) = 2$.*

Proof. Since the degree sum of any two nonadjacent vertices of G is at least n, we know that G is Hamiltonian. Suppose that a Hamiltonian cycle of G is $C = v_1v_2 \dots v_n$. If n is even or $n = 5$, it is obvious that $pc_2(G) \leq 2$.

If $\delta \leq 3$, suppose, without loss of generality, that v_n is the vertex which has minimum degree. There exists a $2 < j < n-2, j \neq i$ such that v_j and v_n are not adjacent. We have that $d(v_j) \geq n - d(v_n) \geq n - 3$, and so we know that $\{v_{j-2}, v_{j+2}\} \cap N(v_j) \neq \emptyset$, where the subscripts are modulus n. Hence, we can see that $pc_2(G) \leq 2$ by Lemma 2.7. In what follows of the proof, we only consider the case that n is an odd number which is larger than 7 and $\delta \geq 4$. To continue our proof, we need the following claim.

Claim: G is pancyclic.

Proof of the Claim: Let $\overline{E}$ be the edge set of $\overline{G}$. One can see that for any $uv \in \overline{E}$, $d_G(u) + d_G(v) \geq n$. It is obvious that

$$\sum_{uv \in E \cup \overline{E}} (d_G(u) + d_G(v)) = (n-1) \sum_{u \in V(G)} d_G(v) = 2(n-1)m. \tag{1}$$

One the other hand,

$$\sum_{uv \in E} (d_G(u) + d_G(v)) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} d_G(u)^2 \geq n(\sum_{u \in V(G)} d_G(u)/n)^2 = 4m^2/n, \tag{2}$$

and

$$\sum_{uv \in \overline{E}} (d_G(u) + d_G(v)) \geq (\binom{n}{2} - m)n, \tag{3}$$

where the equality holds in (2) if and only if G is a regular graph and the equality holds in (3) if and only if $d_G(u) + d_G(v) = n$ for each pair of nonadjacent vertices u and v.

Thus we have

$$2(n-1)m \geq 4m^2/n + (\binom{n}{2} - m)n,$$

i.e.,

$$(m - n^2/4)(m - \binom{n}{2}) \leq 0.$$

Hence, we have that $m \geq n^2/4$, with equality holds if and only if G is a regular graph with degree $n/2$. We know that G is pancyclic from Lemma 4.3.

Assume that $C' = u_1 u_2 \dots u_{n-1}$ is a cycle of G with $n-1$ vertices and $v \notin V(C')$. Without loss of generality, assume that $\{u_1, u_i, u_j, u_k\} \subseteq N(v)$ such that $1 < i < j < k \leq n-1$. Let $c(u_{2i-1}u_{2i}) = 1$ and $c(u_{2i}u_{2i+1}) = 2$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{n-1}{2}$, and let $c(vu_1) = c(u_{n-1}u_1), c(vu_i) = c(u_i u_{i+1}), c(vu_j) = c(u_{j-1}u_j), c(vu_k) = c(u_k u_{k+1})$. Now we prove that for any $x, y \in V(G)$, there are two disjoint proper paths connecting them. We only need to consider the case that $x = v, y = u_l \in V(C')$. If $1 \leq l \leq k$, then $P_1 = vu_1u_2 \dots u_l$ and $P_2 = vu_k u_{k-1} \dots u_l$ are two disjoint proper paths connecting them. If $k < l \leq n-1$, then $P_1 = vu_i u_{i-1} \dots u_1 u_{n-1} \dots u_l$ and $P_2 = vu_j u_{j+1} \dots u_l$ are two disjoint proper paths connecting them. Hence, we have that $pc_2(G) \leq 2$. □

Remark: The condition that "the degree sum of any two nonadjacent vertices of G is at least n" cannot be improved. For example, C_5 and $K_1 \vee (2K_k)$ have the property that the degree sum of any two nonadjacent vertices of is one less than their number of vertices, whereas $pc_2(C_5) = 3$ and $pc_2(K_1 \vee (2K_k)$ does not exist.

5 Proper connection number of dense graphs

In this section, we consider the following problem:

Problem 1. For every k with $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, compute and minimize the function $f(n,k)$ with the following property: for any connected graph G with n vertices, if $|E(G)| \geq f(n,k)$, then $pc(G) \leq k$.

In [9], this kind of question was suggested for rainbow connection number $rc(G)$, and in [12], the authors considered the case $k=3$ and $k=4$ for rainbow connection number $rc(G)$. We first show a lower bound for $f(n,k)$.

proposition 5.1. $f(n,k) \geq \binom{n-k-1}{2} + k + 2$.

Proof. We construct a graph G_k as follows: Take a K_{n-k-1} and a star S_{k+2}. Identify the center-vertex of S_{k+2} with an arbitrary vertex of K_{n-k-1}. The resulting graph G_k has order n and size $E(G_k) = \binom{n-k-1}{2} + k + 1$. It can be easily checked that $pc(G_k) = k+1$. Hence, $f(n,k) \geq \binom{n-k-1}{2} + k + 2$. □

Lemma 5.2. *Let G be a graph with n ($n \geq 6$) vertices and at least $\binom{n-1}{2}+3$ edges. Then for any $u, v \in V(G)$, there is a 2-connected bipartite spanning subgraph of G with u, v in the same part.*

Proof. Let $\overline{G}$ be the complement of G. Then we have that $|E(\overline{G})| \leq n-4$. Let $S = N(u) \cap N(v)$, we have that $|S| \geq 2$. Since otherwise, $|S| \leq 1$, then one has that for any $w \in V(G) \setminus (S \cup \{u, v\})$, either $uw \in E(\overline{G})$ or $vw \in E(\overline{G})$, and thus $|E(\overline{G})| \geq n-3$, which contradicts the fact that $|E(\overline{G})| \leq n-4$. Therefore, we know that $B_G[S, \{u, v\}]$ is a 2-connected bipartite subgraph of G with u, v in the same part.

Suppose that $H = B_G[X, Y]$ is a 2-connected bipartite subgraph of G with u, v in the same part and H has as many vertices as possible. Then, if $V(G) \setminus V(H) \neq \emptyset$, one has that there exists a vertex $w \in V(G) \setminus V(H)$, such that $|N(w) \cap X| \geq 2$ or $|N(w) \cap Y| \geq 2$. Since otherwise,

$$|E(\overline{G})| \geq (n - |V(H_1)|)(|V(H_1)| - 2) \geq n - 3,$$

which contradicts the fact that $|E(\overline{G})| \leq n-4$. Then w can be added to X if $|N(w) \cap X| \geq 2$ or added to Y otherwise, which contradicts the maximality of H. So, we know that H is a 2-connected bipartite spanning subgraph of G with u, v in the same part, which completes the proof. □

Lemma 5.3. *Every 2-connected graph on n ($n \geq 12$) vertices with at least $\binom{n-1}{2} - 5$ edges contains a 2-connected bipartite spanning subgraph.*

Proof. The result is trivial if G is complete. We will prove our result by induction on n for noncomplete graphs. First, if $|V(G)| = 12$ and $|E(G)| \geq 50$, one can find a 2-connected bipartite spanning subgraph of G. So we suppose that the result holds for all 2-connected graphs on n_0 $(13 < n_0 < n)$ vertices with at least $\binom{n_0-1}{2}-5$ edges. For a 2-connected graph G on n vertices with $|E(G)| \geq \binom{n-1}{2} - 5$, let v be a vertex with minimum degree of G, and let $H = G - v$. If $d(v) = 2$, then $|E(H)| \geq \binom{n-1}{2} - 7$. Let $N_G(v) = \{v_1, v_2\}$. We know that H contains a 2-connected bipartite spanning subgraph with v_1, v_2 in the same part by Lemma 5.2. Clearly, G contains a 2-connected bipartite spanning subgraph. Otherwise, $3 \leq d(v) \leq n-2$, then $|E(H)| \geq \binom{n-1}{2} - 5 - (n-2) = \binom{(n-1)-1}{2} - 5$ and $\delta(H) \geq 2$. If H has a cut-vertex u, then each connected component of $H - u$ contains at least 2 vertices. We have that $|E(H)| \leq \binom{n-3}{2} + 3 < \binom{n-2}{2} - 5$, a contradiction. Hence, H is 2-connected. By the induction hypothesis, we know that H contains a 2-connected bipartite spanning subgraph $B_H[X, Y]$. Since $d(v) \geq 3$, at least one of X and Y contains at least 2 neighbors of v. Hence, G contains a 2-connected bipartite spanning subgraph. □

Theorem 5.4. *Let G be a connected graph of order $n \geq 14$. If $\binom{n-3}{2} + 4 \leq |E(G)| \leq \binom{n}{2} - 1$, then $pc(G) = 2$.*

Proof. The result clearly holds if G is 3-connected. We only consider of the graphs with connectivity at most 2.

Claim 1: $\delta(G) \leq 5$.

Proof of Claim 1: Suppose to the contrary that $\delta(G) \geq 6$. If G has a cut-vertex, say x, then each connected component of $G - x$ has at least 6 vertices. Hence, we have that $|E(G)| \leq \binom{n-6}{2} + \binom{7}{2}$, which is less than $\binom{n-3}{2} + 4$ when $n \geq 14$, a contradiction. If G is 2-connected with a 2-vertex cut $\{x, y\}$, then each connected component of $G - x - y$ has at least 5 vertices. We have that $|E(G)| \leq \binom{n-5}{2} + \binom{7}{2} - 1$, which is less than $\binom{n-3}{2} + 4$ when $n \geq 14$. We can also get a contradiction. Hence, we get our conclusion $\delta(G) \leq 5$.

Let v be a vertex with the minimum degree in G, and let $H = G - v$. Then $|V(H)| = n - 1$ and $|E(H)| \geq \binom{n-3}{2} + 4 - 5 = \binom{n-3}{2} - 1$.

Note that if H is 3-connected, one can get that $pc(H) \leq 2$. Then by Lemma 2.5, one has that $pc(G) \leq 2$. So, we only consider the case that the connectivity of H is at most 2.

Claim 2: $\delta(H) \leq 4$.

Proof of Claim 2: We use the same method as in the proof of Claim 1. Suppose that $\delta(H) \geq 5$. If H has a cut-vertex, say x, then each connected component of $H - x$ has at least 5 vertices. Hence, we have that $|E(H)| \leq \binom{n-6}{2} + \binom{6}{2}$, which is less than $\binom{n-3}{2} - 1$ when $n \geq 14$, a contradiction. If H is 2-connected with a 2-vertex cut $\{x, y\}$, then

each connected component of $H - x - y$ has at least 4 vertices. Hence, we have that $|E(H)| \leq \binom{n-5}{2} + \binom{6}{2} - 1$, which is less than $\binom{n-3}{2} - 1$ when $n \geq 14$. Hence we get our conclusion that $\delta(H) \leq 4$.

Let u be a vertex with the minimum degree in H, and let $F = H - u = G - v - u$. Then $|V(F)| = n - 2$ and $|E(F)| \geq \binom{n-3}{2} - 5 = \binom{(n-2)-1}{2} - 5$. If F is 2-connected, we know that F contains a bipartite 2-connected spanning subgraph by Lemma 5.3, and hence $pc(H) \leq 2$. By Lemma 2.5, we have that $pc(G) \leq 2$. So, we only need to consider the case that F has cut-vertices. As in the proof of Lemma 5.3, we know that F has a pendent vertex w, and so $\delta(G) \leq d_G(w) \leq 3$. Let $F' = F - w = G - u - v - w$, then $|E(F')| \geq \binom{n-3}{2} - 6$. From Lemma 5.2, we know that F' contains a 2-connected bipartite spanning subgraph, and so $pc(F') \leq 2$. If $d_G(w) = 1$, then u and v are also pendent vertices in G. We have that $|E(G)| \leq \binom{n-3}{2} + 3$, which contradicts the fact that $|E(G)| \geq \binom{n-3}{2} + 4$. Thus, $d(w) \geq 2$. If $uv \in E(G)$, one can see that $pc(G) = 2$ by Corollary 2.6. If $uv \notin E(G)$, we have that u has a neighbor in F'. Since otherwise, $d(u) = 1$ and $d(v) = 1$, $|E(G)| \leq \binom{n-3}{2}+3$, a contradiction. So, we know that either v has a neighbor in F' or $wv \in E(G)$. By Corollary 2.6, we have that $pc(G) = 2$. The proof is thus complete. □

Theorem 5.5. *Let G be a connected graph of order $n \geq 15$. If $|E(G)| \geq \binom{n-4}{2} + 5$, then $pc(G) \leq 3$.*

Proof. If G is 2-edge connected, then $pc(G) \leq 3$ clearly holds from Lemma 3.1. If $\delta(G) = 1$, let $H = G - v$, where v is a pendent vertex. Then, H has $n - 1$ vertices and $|E(H)| \geq \binom{(n-1)-3}{2} + 4$. From Theorem 5.4, we know that $pc(H) = 2$, and so $pc(G) \leq 3$. In the following, we only consider the graphs with cut-edges and without pendent vertices. Let e be a cut-edge of G, and let G_1, G_2 be the two connected components of $G - e$ with $|V(G_1)| \leq |V(G_2)|$. If $|V(G_1)| \geq 5$, we know that $E(G) \leq \binom{n-5}{2} + 11 < \binom{n-4}{2} + 5$, a contradiction. So, we know that $|V(G_1)| \leq 4$. Since G has no pendent vertices, we know that $|V(G_1)| \geq 3$. Hence, G_1 has three or four vertices with at most one pendent vertex in G_1. It can be easily checked that $pc(G_1) \leq 2$. We claim that $pc(G_2) \leq 2$. In fact, if $|V(G_1)| = 3$, then G_2 has $n - 3$ vertices and $|E(G_2)| \geq \binom{n-4}{2} + 5 - 4 = \binom{(n-3)-1}{2} + 1$. If $|V(G_1)| = 4$, then G_2 has $n-4$ vertices and $|E(G_2)| \geq \binom{n-4}{2} + 5 - 7 = \binom{n-4}{2} - 2$. In both cases, we know that $pc(G_2) \leq 2$. Consequently, we can easily get that $pc(G) \leq 3$. □

References

[1] E. Andrews, E. Laforge, C. Lumduanhom, P. Zhang, On proper-path colorings in graphs, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput, to appear.

[2] B. Bollobás, Extremal Graph Theory, Academic Press, New York, 1978.

[3] J.A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, Graph Theory, Springer, New York, 2008.

[4] V. Borozan, S. Fujita, A. Gerek, C. Magnant, Y. Manoussakis, L. Monteroa, Z. Tuza, Proper connection of graphs, Discrete Math. 312 (17) (2012), 2550-2560.

[5] L. Chandran, A. Das, D.Rajendraprasad, N. Varma, Rainbow connection number and connected dominating sets, J. Graph Theory 71 (2012), 206-218.

[6] G. Chartrand, G.L. Johns, K.A. McKeon, P. Zhang, Rainbow connection in graphs, Math. Bohemica 133 (1) (2008), 5-98.

[7] G. Chartrand, G.L. Johns, K.A. McKeon, P. Zhang, The rainbow connectivity of a graph, Networks 54 (2) (2009), 75-81.

[8] F. Huang, X. Li, S. Wang, Proper connection numbers of complementary graphs, arXiv:1504.02414v2 [math.CO].

[9] A. Kemnitz, I. Schiermeyer, Graphs with rainbow connection number two, Discus. Math. Graph Theory 31(2011), 313-320.

[10] M. Kriveleich, R. Yuster, The rainbow connection of a graph is (at most) reciprocal to its minimum degree, J. Graph Theory 71 (2012), 206-218.

[11] H. Li, X. Li, S. Liu, Rainbow connection of graphs with diameter 2, Discrete Math. 312 (2012), 1453-1457.

[12] X. Li, M. Liu, I. Schiermeyer, Rainbow connection number of dense graphs, Discus. Math. Graph Theory 33 (2013), 603-611.

[13] X. Li, Y. Shi, Rainbow connection in 3-connected graphs, Graphs & Combin. 29 (5) (2013), 1471-1475.

[14] X. Li, Y. Shi, Y. Sun, Rainbow connections of graphs: A survey, Graphs & Combin. 29 (2013), 1-38.

[15] X. Li, Y. Sun, Rainbow Connections of Graphs, Springer Briefs in Math., Springer, New York, 2012.

[16] V.G. Vizing, On an estimate of the chromatic class of a p-graph, Diskret. Anal. (3) (1964), 25-30.